

P. Phil. Nec. 23: Repertory of conjectures made before publication of the *editio princeps*

Editors' note: We include here textual suggestions made since proofs of the *editio princeps* of P. Phil. Nec. 23 were circulated to participants in the conference held at the Center for Hellenic Studies on June 13–14, 2024. We ask that scholars working to improve the text further carefully consult both this repertory and the *editio princeps*, which is here taken as foundational—not only its interpretive text and *apparatus criticus*, but the Commentary and Appendix, both of which record further textual suggestions.

The final date of this repertory is August 28, 2024. It will not be updated, but we advise that you may also need to consult the individual “pre-prints” posted on the CHS website, any of which may contain further textual suggestions. Each pre-print will be time-stamped and posted only once, so you need not check it for updates.

We gratefully acknowledge the assistance of Sarah Gonzalez in preparing this repertory.

Ino

(col. i, lines 1–37)

1 ἐμ]πλήκατ' Diggle (εν] papyrus)

5 καὶ] Diggle, ἦ] Olson

10 τοῖς] Battezzato

11 οὔτ]ωσ or αἰν]ῶσ Finglass

13–15 See the Appendix of the *editio princeps* (Diggle).

13 φή]çε[ι]ç Finglass

15 e.g. αἰς[χροῖσιν] δόμοις or αἴσ[χιςτον] δόμοις Olson

22 ὦ ταλαίπωροι βροτοί Olson

23 No comma at end Olson

26 possibly δ' εἶδον and -πον^([^]) ἦν edd. pr.

28 possibly -α c' edd. pr.

29 ὄ]ψεως Finglass

30 καὶ μὴν ὅτ' ἐλθεῖν <μήποτ'> ὄφελ[ε]ς φάος Diggle: [ὄς] μήποτ' ἐλθεῖν ὄφελ'

[ἐ]ς φάος ~ – Olson: καὶ μὴν ὅτ' ἐλθεῖν ὄφελ' ὄφελ' [ἐ]ς φάος [πάθος] Battezzato.

Meccariello wonders whether the papyrus has an apostrophe indicating ὄφελ'

[ἐ]ς. Finglass locates the two missing syllables at the end of the line and suggests

e.g. πόλει, γένει, φίλοις, δόμοι, δόμοις, τέκνοις ...

31 δύκκλ]ηρος Olson

32 στέ]νεῖς (or θρη]νεῖς) Diggle (Appendix of *editio princeps*): φω]νεῖς ἄκραντα,

παῦε, [μὴ λέξις πέρα] (or πλέον for πέρα) Mastronarde: . . . παῦε μὴ λέγ' ἄλλ'

ἐμ]οί Finglass

33]ικρα: Edd. pr. write, “μῖκρ' would give excellent sense, but μ is contrary to

the traces (as is π).” Battezzato and Meccariello recognize the possibility of

iotacistic spelling, Battezzato also the possibility of initial sigma: (c)μ]ικρα.

Diggle maintains that the first visible traces do support μ, not ε, and he proposes

ὄς c]μικρα or καὶ]μικρα. Finglass prefers ὦ cμ]ικρα.

34 At the start, Battezzato reads](.)ιοιμεν. At the end, ἀθύρματα or ἀγάματα Diggle. For the whole line, ὦ νήπιοι μὲν, νηπίου δ' ἀφ' αἵματος Finglass.

Polyidos

(col. i, lines 39–48)

40 ἄλγιστα Olson (restoring Euripides, not the papyrus, as the traces are unfavorable)

47 Edd. pr. write, “The common vocative epithet φίλη does not seem to fit the traces.” Diggle’s intention to argue for ἀλλ’ ὦ φίλη has been announced in the Appendix to the *editio princeps*. Alternatively cὺ δ’ ὦ] φίλη Diggle

(col. ii)

1 <ἀλλ’, ὦ> Meccariello

3 ποντ[ί]αc Olson

6 τὰc δὲ κάc Olson

10 ἀκουσίου Olson

17 ἀλλ’ ἐγγυητῆς ἢ τυραννὶc ἀφασίης (ενγ- papyrus) Meccariello

28 χρόνω(ι) τε φύει, with ται added above ει (i.e. φύεται) edd. pr.: Battezzato will argue that what is added above is instead τε, implying χρόνω(ι) φύει τε

45 ζῆν δὲ οὐ φή(ι)c, ὡc ἐγὼ edd. pr.: Ζηνὸc οὐ φήcω c’ ἐγὼ Battezzato (Appendix to the *editio princeps*)

47–8 ἀνατρέπει ... | καὶ ξυνταράccει Olson

Meccariello observes that what edd. pr. call a “distinctive interlinear mark that consists of a line sloping slightly up as it moves to the right” at ii.26, ii.30, and ii.50, and possibly i.26 may be an acute accent.